Asymptotic enumeration of graphical representations

Yunsong Gan

joint work with Pablo Spiga and Binzhou Xia Southern University of Science and Technology

September 2025

DRR/GRR

Let G be a finite group and $S \subseteq G$. A Cayley digraph $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ of G is a digraph with vertex set G such that (g,h) is an arc if and only if $hg^{-1} \in S$. If $S = S^{-1}$, then $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ is a Cayley graph of G.

We call a Cayley (di)graph of G a (di)graphical regular representation, or GRR (DRR) for short, if its automorphism group is isomorphic to G.

DRR/GRR

Let G be a finite group and $S \subseteq G$. A Cayley digraph $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ of G is a digraph with vertex set G such that (g,h) is an arc if and only if $hg^{-1} \in S$. If $S = S^{-1}$, then $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ is a Cayley graph of G.

We call a Cayley (di)graph of G a (di)graphical regular representation, or GRR (DRR) for short, if its automorphism group is isomorphic to G.

A natural problem is to determine which finite groups admit a DRR or GRR.

Existence of DRRs/GRRs

Theorem (Babai, 1980)

 C_2^2 , C_2^3 , C_2^4 , C_3^2 and Q_8 are the only five groups without DRRs.



L. Babai, Finite digraphs with given regular automorphism groups, *Period. Math. Hung.* 11 (1980), 257–270.

Existence of DRRs/GRRs

Theorem (Babai, 1980)

 C_2^2 , C_2^3 , C_2^4 , C_3^2 and Q_8 are the only five groups without DRRs.



L. Babai, Finite digraphs with given regular automorphism groups, *Period. Math. Hung.* 11 (1980), 257–270.

Theorem (Godsil, 1981)

Apart from abelian groups of exponent greater than 2, generalized dicyclic groups and 13 small solvable groups, every finite group admits a GRR.



C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), 243–256.

Babai and Godsil conjectured in early 1980s that almost all finite Cayley digraphs are DRRs, namely,

$$\frac{|\{S: \operatorname{Cay}(G,S) \text{ is a DRR}\}|}{|S|} \to 1 \ \text{ as } \ |G| \to \infty.$$

Babai and Godsil conjectured in early 1980s that almost all finite Cayley digraphs are DRRs, namely,

$$\frac{|\{S: \operatorname{Cay}(G,S) \text{ is a DRR}\}|}{|S|} \to 1 \ \text{ as } \ |G| \to \infty.$$

Theorem (Morris-Spiga, 2021)

Let G be a finite group of order n. When n is sufficiently large, the proportion of subsets S of G such that $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ is not a DRR is at most $2^{-\frac{bn^{0.499}}{4\log_2^3 n}+2}$. where b is an absolute constant.



J. Morris and P. Spiga, Asymptotic enumeration of Cayley digraphs, *Israel J. Math.* 242 (2021), 401–459.

Babai, Godsil, Imrich and Lovász conjectured that, except for the groups ${\it G}$ that are abelian of exponent greater than 2 or generalised dicyclic, almost all finite Cayley graphs of ${\it G}$ are GRRs, namely,

$$\frac{|\{S:S=S^{-1},\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)\text{ is a GRR}\}|}{|\{S:S=S^{-1}\}|}\to 1 \ \text{ as } \ |G|\to\infty.$$

Babai, Godsil, Imrich and Lovász conjectured that, except for the groups G that are abelian of exponent greater than 2 or generalised dicyclic, almost all finite Cayley graphs of G are GRRs, namely,

$$\frac{|\{S:S=S^{-1},\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)\text{ is a GRR}\}|}{|\{S:S=S^{-1}\}|}\to 1 \ \text{ as } \ |G|\to\infty.$$

Theorem (Xia-Zheng, 2023)

Let G be a finite group of order n such that G is neither abelian of exponent greater than 2 nor generalized dicyclic. When n is sufficiently large, the proportion of inverse-closed subsets S of G such that $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$

is not a GRR is at most $2^{-\frac{n^{0.499}}{8\log_2^3 n} + \log_2^2 n + 3}$.



B. Xia and S. Zheng, Asymptotic enumeration of graphical regular representations, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*(3) 127 (2023), 1424–1450.

m-Cayley (di)graphs

Let m be a positive integer. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = (S_{i,j})_{m imes m} = egin{pmatrix} S_{1,1} & S_{1,2} & \cdots & S_{1,m} \ S_{2,1} & S_{2,2} & \cdots & S_{2,m} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ S_{m,1} & S_{m,2} & \cdots & S_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a set-matrix of G. The m-Cayley digraph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,\mathcal{S})$ of G with respect to \mathcal{S} is the digraph with vertex set $G \times \{1,\ldots,m\}$ and arc set

$$\bigcup_{i,j \in \{1,...,m\}} \left\{ ((g,i),(sg,j)) \, \middle| \, s \in S_{i,j}, \, g \in G \right\}.$$

We call a set-matrix S inverse-closed if $S_{j,i} = S_{i,j}^{-1}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$. For such S, we call the digraph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ an m-Cayley graph as it is undirected.

Existence of DmSRs/GmSRs

An m-Cayley (di)graph of a finite group G is called a (di)graphical m-semiregular representation, abbreviated as GmSR (DmSR), if its automorphism group is isomorphic to G.

Existence of DmSRs/GmSRs

An m-Cayley (di)graph of a finite group G is called a (di)graphical m-semiregular representation, abbreviated as GmSR (DmSR), if its automorphism group is isomorphic to G.

Theorem(Du, Feng, Spiga, 2021)

Every group of order greater than 8 has a GmSR and DmSR for all $m \ge 2$.



J.-L. Du, Y.-Q. Feng and P. Spiga, A classification of the graphical *m*-semiregular representation of finite groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser.* A 171 (2020).

For a finite group G of sufficiently large order, are almost all m-Cayley (di)graphs of G GmSRs (DmSRs)? That is,

$$\frac{|\{\mathcal{S}: (S=S^{-1},)\mathrm{Cay}(G,S) \text{ is a } \mathsf{D} m\mathsf{SR}(\mathsf{G} m\mathsf{SR})\}|}{|\{\mathcal{S}: (\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}^{-1})\}|} \to 1 \ \text{ as } \ |G| \to \infty.$$

For a finite group G of sufficiently large order, are almost all m-Cayley (di)graphs of G GmSRs (DmSRs)? That is,

$$\frac{|\{\mathcal{S}: (S=S^{-1},)\mathrm{Cay}(G,S) \text{ is a } \mathsf{D} m\mathsf{SR}(\mathsf{G} m\mathsf{SR})\}|}{|\{\mathcal{S}: (\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}^{-1})\}|} \to 1 \ \text{ as } \ |G| \to \infty.$$

Theorem 1(Gan, Spiga, Xia, 2026)

Fix an integer $m \geq 2$, and let G be a finite group of order n. When n is sufficiently large, the proportion of (inverse-closed) set-matrices S of G such that $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ is a DmSR (GmSR) is greater than $1-m^2/\sqrt{n}$.

Strategy to prove Theorem 1: estimate each size of sets

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{ \mathcal{S} : \exists i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})) \text{ does not stabilize } \mathcal{G}_i \},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_2 = \{\mathcal{S} \notin \mathcal{Z}_1 : \exists i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ s.t. } A_i \ncong G\}, \text{ and }$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_3 = \{ \mathcal{S} \notin \mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2 : \operatorname{Cay}(G, \mathcal{S}) \text{ is not a D} mSR/GmSR \}.$$

Strategy to prove Theorem 1: estimate each size of sets

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{ \mathcal{S} : \exists i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})) \text{ does not stabilize } \mathcal{G}_i \},$$

 $\mathcal{Z}_2 = \{ \mathcal{S} \notin \mathcal{Z}_1 : \exists i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{A}_i \not\cong \mathcal{G} \}, \text{ and }$

$$\mathcal{Z}_3 = \{\mathcal{S} \notin \mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2 : \mathrm{Cay}(\textit{G}, \mathcal{S}) \text{ is not a D}\textit{m} SR/G\textit{m} SR\}.$$

The validity of Theorem 1 relies significantly on the presence of arcs within each G-orbit in most m-Cayley (di)graphs of G. Establishing an analog of Theorem 1 becomes notably more challenging, even for m=2, if arcs within each G-orbit are prohibited.

Haar graphs

Let G be a finite group and let $S \subseteq G$. Then a Haar graph H(G,S) is a graph with vertex set $G_+ \cup G_-$ such that g_+ and h_- are adjacent if and only if $hg^{-1} \in S$.

When G is abelian, there exists an automorphism ι of order two such that the group $G \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$ acts regularly on the vertex set. Hence no Haar graph of an abelian group is an HGR.

Haar graphs

Let G be a finite group and let $S \subseteq G$. Then a Haar graph H(G,S) is a graph with vertex set $G_+ \cup G_-$ such that g_+ and h_- are adjacent if and only if $hg^{-1} \in S$.

When G is abelian, there exists an automorphism ι of order two such that the group $G \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$ acts regularly on the vertex set. Hence no Haar graph of an abelian group is an HGR.

Theorem (Morris-Spiga, 2025)

They have classified finite groups admitting an HGR.



J. Morris and P. Spiga, Haar graphical representations of finite groups and an application to poset representations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 173 (2025), 279–304.

Theorem 2(Gan, Spiga, Xia, 2026)

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,0.1]$, and let n_{ε} be a positive integer such that for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$,

$$(6 + 2\log_2 n)(n^{0.5-\varepsilon} + \log_2 n)^2 + (1 - \log_2 n)(n^{0.5-\varepsilon} + \log_2 n) + \log_2^2 n + 2\log_2 n < n^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Let G be a finite group of order n, and let

$$f_{\varepsilon}(n) = \frac{n^{0.5-\varepsilon}}{24(\log_2 n)^{2.5}} - \frac{3\log_2^2 n}{4} - 15.$$

- (a) If G is nonabelian, then the number of subsets S of G such that H(G,S) is not an HGR is less than $2^{n-f_{\varepsilon}(n)}$.
- (b) If G is abelian, then the number of subsets S of G such that the automorphism group of $\mathrm{H}(G,S)$ is not isomorphic to $G \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$ is less than $2^{n-f_{\varepsilon}(n)-1}$.

Let G be a finite group. We need to enumerate the size of the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{S \subset G : \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{H}(G,S)) > G\}.$$

Let G be a finite group. We need to enumerate the size of the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{S \subset G : \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{H}(G, S)) > G\}.$$

Lemma

Let X be a finite group, and let G be a nonabelian subgroup of index 2 in X. Then $|\mathcal{I}(X \setminus G)| \leq 3|G|/4$.

The key is to enumerate the size of the set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{S \subset G : \operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S)) > G\}.$$

Let $S \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S)) > G$, and there exists a group $M \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S))$ such that G is maximal in M. Moreover, there is an exact factorization

$$M = M_x G = M_y G$$

for each pair of vertices $x \in G_+$ and $y \in G_-$.

Let $S \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S)) > G$, and there exists a group $M \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S))$ such that G is maximal in M. Moreover, there is an exact factorization

$$M = M_x G = M_y G$$

for each pair of vertices $x \in G_+$ and $y \in G_-$.

By three reduction steps (including Babai-Godsil-like reduction and Morris-Spiga reduction), it suffices to count the number of $S \in \mathcal{Y}$ for which there exists an M satisfying

- $\operatorname{Core}_{M}(G) = 1$, and
- $|M_x| > 2^{|G|^{0.5-\epsilon}}$.

Count the number of primitive groups with a large regular subgroup.

Primitive groups with a large regular subgroup

HS/HC type

Let M be a primitive group of HS or HC type with stabilizer G such that |G|>132. Then M has no regular subgroup with order greater than $2^{|G|^{0.4}}$.

AS type

Let G be finite group of order $n \ge 2^{11}$. Then $|\mathcal{Z}_{AS}(G)| < 2^{3(\log_2 n) + 75}$.

PA type

Let G be a finite group with $|G| \ge 2^{57}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{PA}(G) = \emptyset$.

Primitive groups with a large regular subgroup

CD type

Let G be a finite group of order n. Then

$$|\mathcal{Z}_{CD}(G)| < 2^{\frac{3}{4}n + 2\log_2^4 n + \log_2^3 n + 1702\log_2^2 n + 2\log_2 n}.$$

HA/SD/TW type

Let G be a finite group of order $n \geq 2^{23}$, and let $\varepsilon \in (0,0.1]$. Then

$$|\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{HA}}(G,\varepsilon) \cup \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{SD}}(G,\varepsilon) \cup \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{TW}}(G,\varepsilon)| < 2^{n-\frac{n^{0.5-\varepsilon}}{8\log_2^2 n} + \frac{\log_2^2 n}{2} + 7}.$$

Proposition

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,0.1]$, and let n_{ε} be a positive integer such that the inequality of Theorem 2 holds for all $n \ge n_{\varepsilon}$. Let G be a finite group of order n. Then the number of subsets S of G such that $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S)) > G$ is less than

$$2^{n-\frac{n^{0.5-\varepsilon}}{24(\log_2 n)^{2.5}}+\frac{3\log_2^2 n}{4}+15}.$$

Proposition

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,0.1]$, and let n_{ε} be a positive integer such that the inequality of Theorem 2 holds for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$. Let G be a finite group of order n. Then the number of subsets S of G such that $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\operatorname{H}(G,S)) > G$ is less than $2^{n-\frac{n^{0.5-\varepsilon}}{24(\log_2 n)^{2.5}}+\frac{3\log_2^2 n}{4}+15}$

Theorem 3

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,0.1]$, and let n_{ε} be a positive integer such that the inequality of Theorem 2 holds for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$. Let G be a finite group of order n, and let $h_{\varepsilon}(n) = \frac{n^{0.5-\varepsilon}}{24(\log_2 n)^{2.5}} - \log_2^2(2n) - \frac{3\log_2^2 n}{4} - 2\log_2 n - 15$.

- (a) If G is nonabelian, then the proportion of HGRs of G among Haar graphs of G, up to isomorphism, is greater than $1 2^{-h_{\varepsilon}(n)}$.
- (b) If G is abelian, then the proportion of Haar graphs of G whose automorphism group is isomorphic to $G \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$, among Haar graphs of G, up to isomorphism, is greater than $1 2^{-h_{\varepsilon}(n)}$.

A set-matrix S of G is said to be skew, if it is inverse-closed and satisfies $S_{i,i} = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$. An m-Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ with skew S is called an m-partite graphical semiregular representation (m-PGSR) of G, if its automorphism group is isomorphic to G.

A set-matrix S of G is said to be skew, if it is inverse-closed and satisfies $S_{i,i} = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. An m-Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ with skew S is called an m-partite graphical semiregular representation (m-PGSR) of G, if its automorphism group is isomorphic to G.

Theorem 4

Fix an integer $m \geq 3$, and let G be a finite group of order n. When n is sufficiently large, the proportion of skew set-matrices S of G such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is an m-PGSR is greater than $1-m^2/\sqrt{n}$.

McKay-Praeger conjectured that almost all finite vertex-transitive (di)graphs are Cayley, namely,

```
\frac{|\{\text{a Cayley (di)graph of order }n\}|}{|\{\text{a vertex-transitive (di)graph of order }n\}|} \to 1 \ \ \text{as} \ \ n \to \infty.
```

McKay-Praeger conjectured that almost all finite vertex-transitive (di)graphs are Cayley, namely,

$$\frac{|\{\text{a Cayley (di)graph of order }n\}|}{|\{\text{a vertex-transitive (di)graph of order }n\}|} \to 1 \ \ \text{as} \ \ n \to \infty.$$

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

There are at most $n^{(2/27+o(1))\mu(n)^2} \leq 2^{\log_2^3 n}$ groups of order n.



L. Pyber, Enumerating finite groups of given order, *Ann. of Math.* 137 (1993), 203–220.

 $|\{a \text{ Cayley digraph of order } n\}| \le 2^{n+\log_2^3 n} = 2^{n+o(n)}.$

McKay-Praeger conjectured that almost all finite vertex-transitive (di)graphs are Cayley, namely,

$$\frac{|\{\text{a Cayley (di)graph of order }n\}|}{|\{\text{a vertex-transitive (di)graph of order }n\}|} \to 1 \ \ \text{as} \ \ n \to \infty.$$

Lemma

For a transitive subgroup G of $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$, there are at most $2^{3|\Omega|/4}$ digraphs Γ with vertex set Ω such that $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

McKay-Praeger conjectured that almost all finite vertex-transitive (di)graphs are Cayley, namely,

$$\frac{|\{\text{a Cayley (di)graph of order }n\}|}{|\{\text{a vertex-transitive (di)graph of order }n\}|} \to 1 \ \ \text{as} \ \ n \to \infty.$$

Lemma

For a transitive subgroup G of $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$, there are at most $2^{3|\Omega|/4}$ digraphs Γ with vertex set Ω such that $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Since each automorphism group of a vertex-transitive digraph is 2-closed or contains a minimally transitive group,

```
|\{\text{a vertex-transitive digraph of order }n\}| \leq |\{\text{a 2-closed transitive group of order }n\}| \cdot 2^{3n/4} or \leq |\{\text{a minimally transitive group of order }n\}| \cdot 2^{3n/4}.
```

Polycirculant Conjecture

Polycirculant Conjecture: each vertex-transitive graph has a fixed-point-free element of prime order.

 \Rightarrow each vertex-transitive graph is a *m*-Cayley graph of a cyclic group.

Thank you for listening!